EXHIBIT G

Suzie Cochran - Re: FW: BOP Mtg 4/8/08 - Public Comments

From: Suzie Cochran
Subject: Re: FW: BOP Mtg 4/8/08 - Public Comments

From: Teresa Werner [mailto:terwer42@yahoo.com]
Sent: Tue 4/8/2008 7:03 AM
To: Deanna Lazovich; Catherine POL-Masto; SOS Exec
Cc: Donna AVP; Tosh Dawson; FFIP; Pat FFIP; Sharon FFIP; NVJR FFIP; Bill-Joyce FFIP; Mel-Jean FFIP; Michelle FFIP; NVPrisoners FFIP; Constance FFIP; Rachel FFIP; Wendy FFIP; Rick FFIP; Lorinda FFIP; Rachel FFIP; Marie FFIP; harbert FFIP-ATV; Debbie FFIP-Odell; Juli FFIP-Redress; Cindy FFIP-Redress; Toni FFIP-Silva; Natalie FFIP-SmithParra; Kristy Law-Schaaf; NV Justice Reform
Subject: BOP Mtg 4/8/08 - Public Comments

Regretfully, I cannot attend the Board of Prison Commissioner's Meeting today, however, if it wouldn't be too much trouble, I would appreciate if this e-mail could be included in the public comments, or at the very least be read and considered by the BOP Committee Members.

Public Comment:

We all know about this 'bubble' of inmate's parole hearings being delayed due to AB510 increasing the amount of good time credits.

You may, or may not be aware that there are over 500 inmates who have been granted parole who are still in prison and denied release because their parole requirements cannot be met. Many of these inmates are required to go into treatment centers or halfway houses and there are simply no beds available for them.

Why doesn't the Department of 'Corrections' CORRECT?

Why aren't their adequate programs and treatment WHILE inmates are incarcerated?

Why do inmates 'start' getting help when they are paroled?

Why aren't inmates who DON'T need additional treatment, who have homes/families/and jobs to go to, who are eligible for parole paroled instead?

What you may not know, is there are hundreds, if not thousands, of inmates who have served the minimum term the judge sentenced them to and are denied parole, despite positive behavior, attending every class possible, and no/low negative marks against them. Most of these inmates who are judged by the Parole Board as having NO/LOW RISKS of
re offending, are only going to their next sentences, not even being released.

Why are these inmates denied parole when they have done everything asked of them by the judge and the DOC, and proven they have rehabilitated by receiving a NO/LOW Risk of re-offending by the Parole Board, especially when they aren't even being released?

In the past, when prisons were over-crowed in Nevada, the Parole Board re-reviewed past denials and

granted them if they were no/low risk offenders. This is something that can be done quickly, and easily, from a desk, without holding another hearing... TODAY.

Why don't we take a look at ALL of the past denials and grant them if they have:

1) Programmed Positively

2) Had minimal dispensaries

3) No/Low risk scores for re-offending

4) Only going to a consecutive sentence (they can be denied at the end of their last remaining sentence if they are THEN deemed a risk).

Or better yet, look at commuting some of these sentences from consecutive to concurrent. What does the purpose of stacked sentences serve? Do you think they consecutively 'regret' or have 'remorse'? I believe they 'rehabilitate' concurrently, why isn't their time counted that way on paper? Why do they serve time at the maximum expense to the tax payers?

Thank you for considering granting previous parole denials where appropriate or even commuting consecutive sentences to be rehabilitated concurrently.

Teresa Werner

P.O. Box 21067

Sun Valley, NV 89433

You rock. That's why Blockbuster's offering you one month of Blockbuster Total Access, No Cost.